



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SOUTHERN DIVISION

BRUCE CAHILL et al.

Plaintiff(s),

v.

PAUL PEJMAN EDALAT et al.

Defendant(s).

CASE NO:
8:16-cv-00686-AG-DFM

REDACTED

VERDICT FORM

AND ASSOCIATED CROSS AND
COUNTER-CLAIMS

1 Plaintiffs' Claims

2 1. Is Bruce Cahill entitled to judgment for his claims against:

3 Paul Edalat?

4 YES NO
5

6 If yes, how much is he entitled to?

7 \$ 600,000
8

9 EFT Global Holdings?

10 YES NO
11

12 If yes, how much is he entitled to?

13 \$
14

15 Olivia Karpinski?

16 YES NO
17

18 If yes, how much is he entitled to?

19 \$ 11,000
20

21 2. Is Ron Franco entitled to judgment for his claims against:

22 Paul Edalat?

23 YES NO
24

25 If yes, how much is he entitled to?

26 \$ 10,000
27

28 EFT Global Holdings?

29 YES NO
30

1 If yes, how much is he entitled to?

2 \$ _____
3

4 Olivia Karpinski?

5 YES NO

6 If yes, how much is he entitled to?

7 \$ _____
8

9 3. Is Greg Cullen entitled to judgment for his claims against:

10 Paul Edalat?

11 YES NO

12 If yes, how much is he entitled to?

13 \$ 10,000

14 EFT Global Holdings?

15 YES NO

16 If yes, how much is he entitled to?

17 \$ _____
18

19 Olivia Karpinski?

20 YES NO

21 If yes, how much is he entitled to?

22 \$ _____
23

24 4. Is Shane Scott entitled to judgment for his claims against:

1 Paul Edalat?

2 YES NO

3

4 If yes, how much is he entitled to?

5 \$ 10,000

6 EFT Global Holdings?

7 YES NO

8

9 If yes, how much is he entitled to?

10 \$ _____

11 Olivia Karpinski?

12 YES NO

13

14 If yes, how much is he entitled to?

15 \$ _____

16

17 5. If you find by CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE that any of these parties are

18 guilty of engaging in conduct with malice, oppression, or fraud, check the box next to

19 their name. For each box checked, select who the victim(s) of that malice, oppression, or

20 fraud were.

21 Note: To find that a party engaged in conduct with malice, oppression, or fraud, you must

22 find that there was at least one victim of that conduct.

23 Paul Edalat

24

25 Victim(s):

26 Bruce Cahill

1 Greg Cullen
2

3 Shane Scott
4

5 Ron Franco
6

7 Olivia Karpinski
8

9 Victim(s):
10

11 Bruce Cahill
12

13 Greg Cullen
14

15 Shane Scott
16

17 Ron Franco
18

1 Defendants' Claims

2 1. Is Pault Edalat entitled to judgment for his claims against:

3 Bruce Cahill?

4 YES NO
5

6 If yes, how much is he entitled to?

7 \$ 250,000
8

9 Ron Franco?

10 YES NO
11

12 If yes, how much is he entitled to?

13 \$ _____

14 Greg Cullen?

15 YES NO
16

17 If yes, how much is he entitled to?

18 \$ _____

19 Shane Scott?

20 YES NO
21

22 If yes, how much is he entitled to?

23 \$ _____

24 Life Tech Global LLC?

25 YES NO
26

1 If yes, how much is he entitled to?

2 \$ _____

3 2. Is Olivia Karpinski entitled to judgment for her claims against:

4 Bruce Cahill?

5 YES NO

6 If yes, how much is she entitled to?

7 \$ 10,000

8 Pharma Pak Inc.?

9 YES NO

10 If yes, how much is she entitled to?

11 \$ _____

12 3. If you find by CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE that any of these parties are
13 guilty of engaging in conduct with malice, oppression, or fraud, check the box next to
14 their name. For each box checked, select who the victim(s) of that malice, oppression, or
15 fraud were.

16 Note: To find that a party engaged in conduct with malice, oppression, or fraud, you must
17 find that there was at least one victim of that conduct.

18 Bruce Cahill

19 Victim(s):

20 Paul Edalat

21 Olivia Karpinski

1 Greg Cullen
2

3 Victim:

4 Paul Edalat
5

6 Ron Franco
7

8 Victim:

9 Paul Edalat
10

11 Shane Scott
12

13 Victim:

14 Paul Edalat
15

16 Pharma Pak Inc.
17

18 Victim:

19 Olivia Karpinski
20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Date: Aug. 8, 2017

Presiding Juror: